



War Room/DailyClout Pfizer Document Analysis

Are the COVID-19 Shots a Vaccine or a Gene Therapy?

PART ONE

AUTHORS:

David Shaw
Cassie Papillon
Dr Chris Flowers MD
Loree Britt

The world was told the mRNA COVID-19 products were "vaccines". However, these drugs did not meet the Center for Disease Control's (CDC's) pre-September 2021 vaccine definition. Was the September 2021 "vaccine" definition change made to accelerate approval and direct the mRNA products to an inappropriate Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) review committee? Did Pfizer submit the EUA application as a vaccine in order to perfect their [PREP Act](#) product immunity? Are these products instead gene therapies? Should the mRNA platform have been assessed and approved as a gene therapy product? You be the judge.

Did You Know the CDC Changed the Definition of 'Vaccine'?

Definition BEFORE September 2021:

"A **product** that stimulates a person's immune system **to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease**. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections but can also be administered by mouth or sprayed in into the nose." A vaccination is: "The act of introducing a vaccine into the body to **produce immunity** to a specific disease." – CDC. [Emphasis added.] <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/imz-basics.htm>

NOTE: Remember ... "protecting the person from that disease"

Definition AFTER September 2021:

"A **preparation** that is used to **stimulate the body's immune response against diseases**. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but some can be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose." A vaccination is: "The act of introducing a vaccine into the body to **produce protection** from a specific disease—" - CDC. [Emphasis added.] <https://web.archive.org/web/20210826113846/https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/imz-basics.htm>

NOTE: "... to stimulate the body's immune response against diseases." is a radical departure from the original definition.

The CEO of an international intangible asset underwriting and analyst firm has stated: "... so we changed what the definition of a vaccine was, we mislabeled it, this...is a clear and compelling Federal Trade Commission deceptive medical practices case because...both BioNTech and Moderna said that 'mRNA injections were experimental gene therapies classified as such by the FDA.'" [David E. Martin, Ph.D., the CEO and Chairman of M-CAM®](#)

Was the fact that the "vaccines" were really gene therapy products the reason for the overwhelming number of deaths and Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) Pfizer disclosed in its [5.3.6 Cumulative Analysis of Post-Authorization Adverse Event Reports](#)? The distinction matters. Ironically and tragically, the above report was authored by "Worldwide Safety Pfizer." Pfizer knew, even during its clinical trial, that there were deaths and harms, which is why the EUA approval path matters.

Why Does the FDA Definition of This Treatment Matter?

Whether the FDA defines a drug as a vaccine or a gene therapy drives which committee reviews the product and influences emergency use authorization (EUA) being granted. So, the definition involves much more than semantics. Therefore a review of the FDA's gene therapy definition is key:

Gene therapy definition: Gene therapies for humans seek to "[modify or manipulate the expression of a gene or to alter the biological properties of living cells for therapeutic use](#)."

NOTE: This definition does not detail the dramatically different approval process for use in humans.

