By: **Delegate Kaiser** Introduced and read first time: February 7, 2020 Assigned to: Ways and Means

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Election Law – Postelection Tabulation Audits – Risk–Limiting Audits

3 FOR the purpose of requiring that the State Board of Elections conduct a certain automated 4 software audit in collaboration with the local boards of elections; requiring the State $\mathbf{5}$ Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct a certain risk-limiting audit 6 after each statewide election; repealing a requirement that the State Board conduct 7 a certain manual audit after each statewide general election; repealing the authority 8 of the State Board to conduct a certain manual audit after each statewide primary 9 election; repealing certain provisions of law governing the conduct of a certain 10manual audit; requiring the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to 11 conduct a risk-limiting audit of at least one statewide contest and any other contests 12selected for audit by the State Board after each statewide election; requiring that a 13risk-limiting audit manually examine certain paper records or batches of certain 14paper records in a certain manner, be completed before certification of the election 15results, and be observable by the public to a certain extent; requiring the official 16result of an election to be altered to match the result found by a risk-limiting audit 17if the risk-limiting audit finds that the electronic count is incorrect; requiring the 18 State Board to post a certain report on its website concerning a risk-limiting audit 19within a certain period of time; requiring that certain regulations adopted by the 20State Board be comprehensive; requiring that certain regulations include certain 21criteria, the risk limit, and the audit method; requiring the State Administrator of 22Elections to convene a Risk–Limiting Audits Workgroup on or before a certain date; 23requiring the Workgroup to consist of certain persons selected by the State 24Administrator; requiring the State Administrator, or the State Administrator's 25designee, to serve as chair of the Workgroup; requiring the Workgroup to provide 26certain advice and assistance in conducting certain pilot risk-limiting audits and 27draft proposed text of certain risk-limiting audit regulations; requiring the State 28Board, in collaboration with the appropriate local boards, to conduct pilot 29risk-limiting audits in a certain number of counties following a certain statewide 30 general election; requiring the State Board to select the contests to be audited and 31determine how the pilot risk-limiting audits are to be conducted; providing that a

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array} $	pilot risk—limiting audit may be conducted after the election results are certified and may not have any effect on the certified election results; requiring the State Board to adopt certain regulations on or before a certain date; defining certain terms; altering a certain definition; repealing a certain definition; making conforming changes; providing for a delayed effective date for certain provisions of this Act; and generally relating to postelection tabulation audits.						
$7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11$	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, Article – Election Law Section 11–309 Annotated Code of Maryland (2017 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement)						
$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 13 \end{array}$	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:						
14	Article – Election Law						
15	11–309.						
16	(a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.						
17 18							
$19 \\ 20 \\ 21$	[(2)] (3) "Manual [audit] COUNT" means inspection of voter-verifiable paper records by hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest [that are compared to the vote totals produced for that contest by the electronic voting system].						
22	[(3) "Previous comparable general election" means:						
$\frac{23}{24}$	(i) in a presidential election year, the presidential election held 4 years earlier; and						
$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 26 \end{array}$	(ii) in a gubernatorial election year, the gubernatorial election held 4 years earlier.]						
27 28 29 30 31 32	(4) "RISK-LIMITING AUDIT" MEANS A POSTELECTION AUDIT PROCEDURE THAT EMPLOYS STATISTICAL METHODS TO ENSURE A LARGE, PREDETERMINED MINIMUM CHANCE OF REQUIRING A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A DIFFERENT OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT.						
$\frac{33}{34}$	[(4)] (5) "Voter-verifiable paper record" has the meaning stated in § 9–102 of this article.						

 $\mathbf{2}$

$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array} $	(b) COLLABOR the voting s	ATION	WITH	I THE		ARDS, shall				-	
4 5	(1) an automated software audit of the electronic images of all ballots cast in the election; and										
6 7	AUDIT in ac	(2) a [manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records] RISK-LIMITING J DIT in accordance with subsection [(d)] (C) of this section.									
8	[(c)	Follo	wing e	ach st	atewide prir	nary electio	n, the Stat	e Board:			
9 10	all ballots ca	(1) ast in t		-	lete an auto and	mated softw	vare audit o	of the ele	ctroni	ic image	s of
$\frac{11}{12}$	manner pres	(2) scribed			ete a manu e Board.	al audit of	voter–veri	fiable pa	ıper r	ecords i	in a
$\frac{13}{14}$	(d) complete a r	(1) nanua		-	each statev	vide genera	al election,	, the St	ate E	Board s	hall
15			(i)	at le	ast 2% of pro	ecincts state	ewide, inclu	uding:			
16				1.	at least or	e randomly	v chosen pro	ecinct in	each o	county;	and
17				2.	additional	precincts s	elected by	the State	e Boar	d; and	
18 19 20	(ii) a number of votes equal to at least 1% of the statewide total i the previous comparable general election of each of the following, including at least minimum number of each of the following in each county, as prescribed by the State Board						st a				
21				1.	early vote	s;					
22				2.	absentee v	votes; and					
23				3.	provisiona	l votes.					
24 25	election.	(2)	The r	nanua	ll audit shall	l be complet	ed within I	120 days	after	the gen	eral
26		(3)	If the	e manı	ual audit sho	ows a discre	pancy, the	State Bo	oard n	nay:	
27			(i)	expa	nd the man	ual audit; a	nd				
2829	discrepancy		(ii)	take	any other	actions it	considers	necessar	y to :	resolve	the

$\frac{1}{2}$	post on its v	(4) vebsite		in 14 days after the conclusion of the audit, the State Board shall ort that describes:
$\frac{3}{4}$	in each cour	nty and	(i) l the m	the precincts and number of votes selected for the manual audit anner in which the precincts and votes were selected;
5			(ii)	the results of the manual audit; and
$6 \\ 7$	discrepancy	was re	(iii) esolved	any discrepancy shown by the manual audit and how the
8 9	manual aud	(5) lit proc		State Board shall allow for public observation of each part of the the extent practicable.
10	(e)	An aı	udit un	der this section:
11		(1)	may r	not have any effect on the certified election results; and
$\frac{12}{13}$	elections.]	(2)	shall	be used to improve the voting system and voting process for future
$14 \\ 15 \\ 16$	(C) COLLABOR AUDIT OF:	(1) ATION		LOWING EACH STATEWIDE ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN I THE LOCAL BOARDS, SHALL CONDUCT A RISK-LIMITING
17			(I)	AT LEAST ONE STATEWIDE CONTEST; AND
$\frac{18}{19}$	BOARD.		(11)	ANY OTHER CONTESTS SELECTED FOR AUDIT BY THE STATE
20		(2)	ARIS	SK-LIMITING AUDIT SHALL:
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	RECORDS U FULL MAN	UNTIL NUAL IC COI	THER COUN	MANUALLY EXAMINE RANDOMLY CHOSEN INDIVIDUAL APER RECORDS OR BATCHES OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER E IS SUFFICIENTLY STRONG STATISTICAL EVIDENCE THAT A T OF THE AUDITED CONTEST WOULD CONFIRM THE R UNTIL THERE HAS BEEN A FULL MANUAL COUNT; BE COMPLETED BEFORE CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION
2829	PRACTICA		(III)	BE OBSERVABLE BY THE PUBLIC TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT

4

1 IF A RISK–LIMITING AUDIT FINDS THAT THE ELECTRONIC COUNT (3) $\mathbf{2}$ IS INCORRECT, THE OFFICIAL RESULT OF THE ELECTION SHALL BE ALTERED TO 3 MATCH THE RESULT FOUND BY THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT. 4 (4) WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE RISK-LIMITING $\mathbf{5}$ AUDIT, THE STATE BOARD SHALL POST ON THE STATE BOARD'S WEBSITE A REPORT 6 THAT DESCRIBES THE AUDIT PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT. 7 [(f)] **(D)** (1) The State Board shall adopt COMPREHENSIVE regulations to 8 carry out this section. 9 (2) THE REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS 10 SUBSECTION TO CARRY OUT RISK-LIMITING AUDITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE: 11 12**(I)** CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE CONTESTS TO BE 13 AUDITED; 14**(II)** THE RISK LIMIT; AND 15(III) THE AUDIT METHOD. 16 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That: 17(a) (1)In this section the following words have the meanings indicated. 18 (2)"Electronic count" has the meaning stated in § 11–309 of the Election Law Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act. 19 20"Local board" means a local board of elections. (3)21"Risk limit" means the maximum chance that, if the electronic count of (4)22a contest is incorrect, the risk-limiting audit will not correct it. 23"Risk-limiting audit" has the meaning stated in § 11-309 of the (5)24Election Law Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act. "State Administrator" means the State Administrator of Elections. 25(6)26(7)"State Board" means the State Board of Elections. 27On or before September 1, 2020, the State Administrator shall convene (b) (1)28a Risk–Limiting Audits Workgroup. 29(2)The Workgroup shall consist of the following persons selected by the

	6 HOUSE BILL 1172					
1	State Administrator:					
2		(i)	experts in the theory and practice of risk–limiting audits;			
3		(ii)	at least one representative of the voting system vendor; and			
4		(iii)	local election officials.			
$5 \\ 6$	(3) The State Administrator, or the State Administrator's designee, shall serve as chair of the Workgroup.					
7	(4)	(4) The Workgroup shall:				
8 9 10	boards in conducting the pilot risk-limiting audits required under subsection (c) of this					
11 12 13	regulations require Board.	(ii) ed und	draft proposed text of the comprehensive risk-limiting audit er subsection (d) of this section for consideration by the State			
$\begin{array}{c} 14\\ 15\\ 16\end{array}$	Board, in collaboration with the appropriate local boards, shall conduct pilot risk-limiting					
17	(2)	The S ⁻	tate Board shall:			
18		(i)	select the contests to be audited; and			
19		(ii)	determine how the pilot risk–limiting audits are to be conducted.			
20	(3)	A pilo	t risk–limiting audit:			
21		(i)	may be conducted after the election results are certified; and			
22		(ii)	may not have any effect on the certified election results.			
$23 \\ 24 \\ 25$	11-309(d) of the Election Law Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act, on or before					
$\frac{26}{27}$	SECTION 3. effect January 1, 2		BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That Section 1 of this Act shall take			
28 20			BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, except as provided in Section			

29 3 of this Act, this Act shall take effect June 1, 2020.