

118TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 6202

To divert Federal funding away from supporting the presence of police in schools and toward evidence-based and trauma informed services that address the needs of marginalized students and improve academic outcomes, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 2, 2023

Ms. Pressley (for herself, Ms. Omar, Mr. Bowman, Ms. Bonamici, Ms. Bush, Mr. Cárdenas, Mr. Carter of Louisiana, Mrs. Cherfilus-McCormick, Ms. Clarke of New York, Mr. Grijalva, Ms. Jackson Lee, Ms. Jayapal, Mr. Johnson of Georgia, Ms. Kamlager-Dove, Ms. Lee of California, Ms. Lee of Pennsylvania, Ms. Ocasio-Cortez, Mr. Pocan, Mrs. Ramirez, Mr. Thanedar, Ms. Tlaib, Ms. Velázquez, Mrs. Watson Coleman, and Ms. Wilson of Florida) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To divert Federal funding away from supporting the presence of police in schools and toward evidence-based and trauma informed services that address the needs of marginalized students and improve academic outcomes, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- This Act may be cited as the "Counseling Not Crim-
- 3 inalization in Schools Act".
- 4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 5 Congress finds the following:
- 6 (1) Over the last 50 years, our Nation's schools 7 have become sites for increased criminalization and 8 surveillance of young people, particularly Black, Na-9 tive American, and Latino students, immigrant students, students with disabilities, LGBTQI+ stu-10 11 dents, students experiencing homelessness, students 12 involved in the foster care system, and other histori-13 cally marginalized students.
 - (2) Despite significant decreases in the rate of serious crimes and violence on school campuses over the past 20 years, improving upon already low rates, 55 percent of high school students, 38 percent of middle school students, and 18 percent of elementary school students attended a school with a police officer during the 2017–2018 school year.
 - (3) Since 1999, the Federal Government has invested more than \$1,000,000,000 to subsidize the placement of police in schools, resulting in more than 50,000 law enforcement officers patrolling the halls of elementary and secondary public schools across the Nation.

- 1 (4) A growing body of research has not found 2 any evidence that police stationed on school cam-3 puses make schools safer, and the presence of police 4 has been shown to increase the likelihood that chil-5 dren will be arrested.
 - (5) Research has shown that schools with a designated law enforcement officer on duty arrested students at 5 times the rate of comparable schools without such an officer.
 - (6) When police are present in schools, students of color face an increased risk of being assaulted by police. Student-recorded videos of police violence in schools regularly circulate through news channels, articles, and social media, exposing violence perpetrated by police within schoolhouse gates. Between 2011 and 2021, news reports detailed at least 285 police assaults of students.
 - (7) Black, Native American, and Latino students are more likely than their White peers to attend schools with police officers on campus and are more likely to be referred to law enforcement or arrested while in school.
 - (8) Black students represent 31 percent of all school-related arrests, despite making up only 15 percent of all public school students. Native Amer-

- ican and Pacific Islander and Native Hawaiian students are more than twice as likely to be arrested as White students.
 - (9) Students with disabilities are more likely than their peers without disabilities to be referred to law enforcement or arrested. Students of color with disabilities are more likely to be referred to law enforcement than either their White peers with disabilities, or their peers of color without disabilities.
 - (10) Students with disabilities are also disproportionately restrained and secluded in schools. Of the 74,813 students who were physically or mechanically restrained during the 2017–2018 school year, 78 percent received special education services. Of the 27,499 students who were secluded during the 2017–2018 school year, 77 percent received special education services. According to a 2020 Government Accountability Office report, 70 percent of school districts report zero incidents of restraint and seclusion, but only 30 of the Nation's 17,000 school districts are required to verify the number of incidents of restraint and seclusion, likely resulting in a significant undercount of these harmful practices.
 - (11) According to the Department of Education, while Black girls comprise only 16 percent of

- girls in elementary and secondary schools, they make up 42 percent of girls receiving the most severe forms of school discipline and severe punishment, such as corporal punishment, and represent 34 percent of girls arrested on campus. In the 2017–2018 school year, Black girls were 4 times more likely than White girls to be suspended or expelled. Black girls were also 3 times more likely to be referred to law enforcement and over 3 times more likely to be arrested in school.
 - (12) Research shows that these racial disparities in discipline rates are not a result of differences in student behavior, but instead reflect the ways in which students of color face more punitive discipline than their White peers for similar behavior.
 - (13) Students who are LGBTQI+ often have intersecting marginalized identities and experience exclusionary discipline at disproportionate rates that make it more likely they will interact with the juvenile justice system than their non-LGBTQI+ peers. A survey of juvenile justice facilities found 40 percent of girls and 20 percent of all detained youth identified as LGBTQI+, and the overwhelming majority (85 percent) of LGBTQI+ detained youth were youth of color.

- 1 (14) Students who are suspended or expelled 2 are nearly 3 times more likely to be in contact with 3 the juvenile justice system the following year.
 - (15) According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, more than 30,000 children under the age of 10 were arrested since 2013. On school campuses, more than 290,000 students were referred to law enforcement. The United States spends \$240 daily, on average, per youth detained in juvenile facilities.
 - (16) While schools should be sanctuaries for all students, reports have shown instances where police in schools collect tips and disciplinary information from teachers and school administrators and share it with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agents to build deportation cases against students and their families.
 - (17) School hardening, including the presence of law enforcement officers on campus, causes students to experience higher levels of fear, perpetuates the school to prison pipeline, and undermines the ability of schools and educators to build learning environments based on mutual trust, respect, and safety.
 - (18) Ninety percent of students are in public schools where the number of counselors, social work-

- ers, nurses, and psychologists do not meet recommended professional standards. Professional
- 3 standards recommend at least 1 counselor and 1 so-
- 4 cial worker for every 250 students and at least 1
- 5 nurse and 1 psychologist for every 750 students and
- 6 every 700 students, respectively.
- 7 (19) 1,700,000 students attend schools with po-
- 8 lice but not 1 counselor.
- 9 (20) 3,000,000 students attend schools with po-
- lice but not 1 school nurse.
- 11 (21) 6,000,000 students attend schools with po-
- lice but not 1 school psychologist.
- 13 (22) 10,000,000 students attend schools with
- police but not 1 social worker.
- 15 SEC. 3. PURPOSE.
- 16 It is the purpose of this Act to—
- 17 (1) address the needs of marginalized students,
- ensure schools are welcoming for students, and im-
- 19 prove academic outcomes by eliminating Federal
- funding for maintaining the presence of covered law
- 21 enforcement officers in schools and establishing a
- continuum of care and positive schoolwide systems
- of services that are evidence-based, inclusive, racially
- and gender responsive, and trauma informed; and

1 (2) support local educational agencies that
2 choose to terminate their contracts with local law en3 forcement agencies or, where applicable, dissolve or
4 disband district-based police departments, and invest
5 resources in personnel and services that create safe
6 and inclusive schools for all students based on com7 munity engagement and deliberative consultation.

8 SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

9

18

- 10 (1) ESEA TERMS.—The terms "elementary 11 school", "evidence-based", "local educational agency", "parent", "professional development", "school 12 leader", "secondary school", "Secretary", and "spe-13 14 cialized instructional support personnel" have the 15 meaning given those terms in section 8101 of the 16 Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 17 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
 - (2) COVERED PROGRAM.—The term "covered program" means—
- 20 (A) all the operations of an elementary 21 school, a secondary school, or a local edu-22 cational agency; or
- 23 (B) a program that serves children who re-24 ceive services for which financial assistance is

- provided in accordance with the Head Start Act

 U.S.C. 9831 et seq.).
- 3 (3) Credible Messenger.—The term "credible messenger" means any individual who serves as 4 5 a mentor, is from the same communities in which 6 the students and families they serve live, and whose 7 lived experiences serve as a credential in their work 8 to provide guidance, empowerment, support, and en-9 couragement to students and their families with 10 whom the credible messenger has shared or similar 11 experiences. Shared experiences include being a per-12 son of color, being directly impacted or having in-13 volvement in the legal system, having involvement in 14 the child welfare system, being directly impacted by 15 exclusionary school discipline and policing in schools, 16 having experienced housing instability, having a dis-17 ability, or identifying as LGBTQI+.
 - (4) Positive behavioral interventions and supports' means—
 - (A) a schoolwide, systematic approach that embeds evidence-based practices and data-driven decision making to improve school climate and culture in order to achieve improved academic and social outcomes and increase learn-

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	ing for all students (including students with the
2	most complex and intensive behavioral needs);
3	and
4	(B) encompasses a range of systemic and
5	individualized positive strategies to teach and
6	reinforce school-expected behaviors, while dis-
7	couraging and diminishing undesirable behav-
8	iors.
9	(5) Covered Law enforcement officer.—
10	The term "covered law enforcement officer"—
11	(A) means any person who—
12	(i) is a State, Tribal, or local law en-
13	forcement officer (as defined in section
14	1204 of the Omnibus Crime Control and
15	Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C.
16	10284)); and
17	(ii) is assigned by the employing law
18	enforcement agency to a covered program,
19	who is contracting with a covered program,
20	or who is employed by a covered program;
21	and
22	(B) includes an individual referred to as a
23	"school resource officer" if that individual
24	meets the definition in subparagraph (A).

1	(6) Trauma-informed services.—The term
2	"trauma-informed services" means a service delivery
3	approach that—
4	(A) recognizes and responds to the impacts
5	of trauma with evidence-based supports and
6	intervention;
7	(B) emphasizes physical, psychological, and
8	emotional safety for both providers of services
9	and survivors of trauma; and
10	(C) creates opportunities for survivors of
11	trauma to rebuild a sense of healing and em-
12	powerment.
	GEG & DROUDINGS OF BEDERAL FUNDS FOR DOLLGE IN
13	SEC. 5. PROHIBITION OF FEDERAL FUNDS FOR POLICE IN
13 14	SCHOOLS.
14	SCHOOLS.
14 15 16	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwith-
14 15 16 17	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act
14 15 16 17	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), including subpart 1 of part E of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.)
14 15 16 17 18	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), including subpart 1 of part E of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.)
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), including subpart 1 of part E of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.) (relating to the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assist-
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), including subpart 1 of part E of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.) (relating to the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program) and part Q of title I of that Act
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), including subpart 1 of part E of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.) (relating to the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program) and part Q of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 13081 et seq.) (relating to the "Cops on the Beat" grant program), or any other provision of law, no
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	schools. (a) Federal Funds Prohibition.—Notwithstanding the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), including subpart 1 of part E of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.) (relating to the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program) and part Q of title I of that Act (34 U.S.C. 13081 et seq.) (relating to the "Cops on the Beat" grant program), or any other provision of law, no

```
1
        (b) COPS Grant Program.—Section 1701 of title
   I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of
   1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381) is amended—
 3
 4
            (1) in subsection (b)—
 5
                 (A) by striking paragraph (12);
 6
                 (B) by redesignating paragraphs
 7
            through (23) as paragraphs (12) through (22),
 8
            respectively; and
 9
                 (C) in paragraph (21), as so redesignated,
                striking "through (21)" and inserting
10
11
             "through (20)"; and
12
            (2) by adding at the end the following:
13
        "(n) Prohibition on Use of Funds for Covered
   LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS.—A recipient of a grant
14
   under this part may not use the grant funds for covered
   law enforcement officers (as defined in section 4 of the
   Counseling Not Criminalization in Schools Act).".
   SEC. 6. SUPPORTING LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES IN
19
                TRANSITIONING AWAY FROM POLICE IN
20
                SCHOOLS.
21
        (a) Grant Program Established.—The Secretary
22
   of Education shall award grants, on a competitive and roll-
   ing basis, to local educational agencies to enable those
24 local educational agencies—
```

- 1 (1) to replace covered law enforcement officers 2 in elementary and secondary schools with personnel 3 and services that support mental health and trauma-4 informed services; and
- 5 (2) to reform school safety and disciplinary 6 policies so they reflect evidence-based practices that 7 do not rely on the criminal justice system and pro-8 vide the necessary staff training and support to im-9 plement such policies.
- 10 (b) APPLICATION.—A local educational agency desir-11 ing a grant under this section shall submit an application 12 to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and con-13 taining such information as the Secretary may require, in-14 cluding an assurance that—
 - (1) the local educational agency will not have covered law enforcement officers stationed on school campuses, including by terminating any existing contract with local law enforcement or, where applicable, dissolving school district-based police departments, at least 30 days prior to the entity receiving funds under this section; and
 - (2) the local educational agency will not establish any new contract with law enforcement or create its own school police department for the duration of the grant.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	(c) Priority.—In awarding grants under this sec-
2	tion, the Secretary shall give priority to—
3	(1) local educational agencies that terminated
4	their contract with local law enforcement or dis-
5	solved their school district-based police department
6	prior to submitting an application and provide assur-
7	ances that the local educational agency will not cre-
8	ate or restart a contract with State or local law en-
9	forcement, create or reinstate a school district police
10	department, or create or restart a program of other
11	law enforcement or armed school personnel during
12	the duration of the grant;
13	(2) local educational agencies with a larger
14	share of students who are economically disadvan-
15	taged, in the event that funds are insufficient to
16	award grants to all eligible applicants; and
17	(3) local educational agencies that—
18	(A) identify the uses of funds in subsection
19	(d) based on meaningful community engage-
20	ment; and
21	(B) establish ongoing stakeholder over-
22	sight, guidance, and coordination of the pro-
23	posed activities or policies with a broad group
24	of stakeholders, including any groups of stu-

dents, as well as their families, who have been

disproportionately arrested, suspended, or expelled, to ensure proposed activities and policies mitigate disparities in the use of exclusionary discipline and promote a positive school culture.

(d) Uses of Funds.—

- (1) Required use.—A local educational agency receiving funds under this section shall use such grant funds to hire, maintain, or train school counselors, school psychologists, nurses, social workers, credible messengers, community health workers and trauma-informed personnel, dedicated staff specifically trained in deescalation and violence interruption practices, staff trained in anti-bias practices, doctoral level specialists in behavior planning and intervention, or other specialists or individuals with expertise in school climate and behavior.
- (2) PERMITTED USES.—In addition to the required use described in paragraph (1), a local educational agency receiving funds under this section may also use grant funds to carry out 1 or more of the following:
 - (A) Implementing schoolwide positive behavioral interventions and supports, restorative justice programs and interventions, mediators,

1	social and emotional learning programs, or
2	other evidence-based trauma-informed services.
3	(B) Providing ongoing professional devel-
4	opment to teachers, teacher assistants, school
5	leaders, counselors, specialized instructional
6	support personnel, credible messengers, and
7	mental health professionals that—
8	(i) fosters safe, inclusive, and stable
9	learning environments that support the so-
10	cial, emotional, mental, and academic well-
11	being of students and prevent and mitigate
12	the effects of trauma, including through
13	social and emotional learning;
14	(ii) improves school capacity to iden-
15	tify, refer, and provide services to students
16	in need of trauma support services;
17	(iii) reflects the best practices for
18	trauma-informed identification, referral,
19	and support developed by the Interagency
20	Task Force on Trauma-Informed Care;
21	(iv) reduces the number of students
22	with disabilities experiencing school dis-
23	cipline for their disability-related behavior
24	through specific training on the identifica-

1	tion, development, and implementation of
2	Behavior Intervention Plans (BIPs); and
3	(v) reduces the number of Black,
4	Latino, Native American, and LGBTQI+
5	students who are subjected to punitive dis-
6	cipline practices rather than trauma-in-
7	formed services and supports.
8	(e) Prohibition.—No portion of any grant funds
9	awarded under this section may be used for—
10	(1) the development, establishment, implemen-
11	tation, or enforcement of punitive school discipline
12	policies, including the commission, contracting of, or
13	agreements with, law enforcement that support the
14	presence of police in schools, including formal or in-
15	formal partnerships or data and information sharing
16	agreements with the Department of Justice or Sec-
17	retary of Homeland Security, including U. S. Immi-
18	gration and Customs Enforcement or U. S. Customs
19	and Border Protection;
20	(2) the purchase, maintenance, or installation
21	of surveillance equipment or programs, including
22	metal detectors, surveillance cameras, facial recogni-

24

1	(3) arming teachers, principals, school leaders,
2	or other school personnel.
3	(f) Grant Amounts.—The amount of grant funds
4	received under this section by a local educational agency
5	shall be based on the number of students enrolled in the
6	local educational agency.
7	(g) Reporting.—
8	(1) In general.—Each local educational agen-
9	cy receiving a grant under this section shall prepare
10	and submit an annual report to the Secretary con-
11	taining the information described in paragraph (2).
12	Such report shall be made publicly available.
13	(2) Contents.—Subject to paragraph (3), the
14	report described in paragraph (1) shall include infor-
15	mation about—
16	(A) how the grant funds were used;
17	(B) the number and percentage of students
18	who were arrested by or referred to law enforce-
19	ment officers in the previous year compared to
20	the number and percentage arrested or referred
21	during the term of the grant;
22	(C) in the aggregate, the reasons for those
23	arrests;
24	(D) demographic data of students arrested
25	or referred to law enforcement officers

- disaggregated and cross-tabulated by race, ethnicity, age, gender, status as a child with a disability, and whether the student is eligible for
 a free or reduced price lunch under the Richard
 B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42
 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.);
 - (E) the number of metal detectors and surveillance cameras used by each school served by the local educational agency, as well as policies governing the use of these devices;
 - (F) whether facial recognition technology is used by the local educational agency, and if so, the policies governing the use of such technology; and
 - (G) whether software programs that monitor or mine the social media use or technology use of students or the families of students are used by, or at the direction of, the local educational agency, and if so, the contract with the provider of those software programs as well as the policies governing the use of such programs.
 - (3) Personally identifiable information.—Collection or disaggregation of information shall not be required under subparagraphs (B) through (D) of paragraph (2) to the extent that

- 1 such collection or disaggregation would reveal per-
- 2 sonally identifiable information about an individual
- 3 student or otherwise violate privacy laws.
- 4 (h) Supplement Not Supplant.—A local edu-
- 5 cational agency shall use Federal funds received under this
- 6 section only to supplement the funds that would, in the
- 7 absence of such Federal funds, be made available from
- 8 State and local sources for the activities described in sub-
- 9 section (d), and not to supplant such funds
- 10 (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
- 11 are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
- 12 \$5,000,000,000.

 \bigcirc