

## 2020 South Dakota Legislature

## **Senate Bill 153**

Introduced by: Senator Monroe

1 An Act to prohibit certain social media censorship.

- 2 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:
- 3 **Section 1.** That a NEW SECTION be added:

4	37	7-38-1. Definitions.
5		Terms used in this chapter mean:
6	(1)	"Algorithm," a set of instructions designed to perform a specific task;
7	<u>(2)</u>	"Hate speech," a phrase concerning content that a person arbitrarily finds offensive
8		based on that person's personal moral code;
9	(3)	"Obscene," content that to the average person, applying contemporary community
10		standards, the dominant theme of the material taken as a whole appeals to prurient
11		interest, and lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value;
12	(4)	"Political speech," speech relating to the state, government, body politic, public
13		administration, or government policymaking, including speech by the government
14		or candidates for office, and any speech relating to social issues. The term does
15		not include speech concerning the administration of or law relating to civil aspects
16		of government;
17	<u>(5)</u>	"Social media website," a website or application that:
18		(i) Enables users to communicate with other users by posting information,
19		comments, messages, or images;
20		(ii) Is open to the public;
21		(iii) Has more than seventy-five million users; and

Has not been specifically affiliated with any one religion or political party

**Section 2.** That a NEW SECTION be added:

from its inception.

22

23

24

1	37-38-2. Social media website censorship or suppression of religious speech
2	prohibitedExceptionsHate speech not a defense.
3	A social media website user may bring a civil action against the owner or operator
4	of a social media website with users in this state if the social media website purposely:
5	(1) Censors a social media website user's religious or political speech; or
6	(2) Uses an algorithm to suppress religious or political speech.
7	A social media website may not be found liable under this section if the censored
8	speech calls for immediate acts of violence, is obscene or pornographic in nature, was
9	censored as a result of operational error, was censored as a result of a court order, came
10	from an inauthentic source or involved false impersonation, enticed criminal conduct,
11	involved minors bullying minors, or if the speech was censored by another social media
12	website user.
13	It is not a defense to a civil action under this section that the social media website
14	user's speech was hate speech.
15	Section 3. That a NEW SECTION be added:
16	37-38-3. Award of damages to social media website user—Attorney's fees
17	allowed.
18	A social media website user may be awarded damages under § 37-38-2, including
19	civil damages of seventy-five thousand dollars for each purposeful censoring or
20	suppression of the social media user's speech, actual damages, forms of equitable relief,
21	and attorney's fees.
22	Section 4. That a NEW SECTION be added:
23	37-38-4. Standing to enforce.
24	Only social media users age eighteen or older have standing to enforce this
25	<u>chapter.</u>
26	Section 5. That a NEW SECTION be added:
27	37-38-5. Attorney general may bring civil action on behalf of social media
28	website user.
29	The Attorney General may bring a civil action under § 37-38-2 on behalf of a social
30	media website user who resides in this state whose religious or political speech has been
31	censored by a social media website.

- 1 **Section 6.** That a NEW SECTION be added:
- 2 **37-38-6. Venue.**
- 3 The venue for any civil action brought under § 37-38-2 shall be in this state.