HLS 17RS-651 ORIGINAL

2017 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 620

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2017-2018

1 AN ACT

- 2 To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
- 3 Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
- 4 Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
- 5 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
- 6 Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million Three Hundred Ninety-
- 7 Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,369.00) Dollars, or so much
- 8 thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
- 9 including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
- 10 Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
- B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:

12 **03-8170 SUPREME COURT**

Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

2) under its duinority

1	Goal: To protect the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Objective: To provide a reasonable opportus Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribe <i>General Performance Information:</i>		igants to seek	review in the	
<u>.</u>	General 1 cijormanec injormation.	2014	2015	2016	
6	T . 1 F:1:				
0	Total Filings	2,716	2,365	2,283	
/	Total Appeals Filed	12	6	9	
8	Total Writs Filed	2,496	2,172	2,092	
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,592	2,486	2,142	
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
11 12	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>	er.			
13		2014	2015	2016	
14	Percentage of noncriminal case				
15	applications acted on within Supreme				
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	91.8%	97.1%	94.1%	
17		21.070	27.170	74.170	
	Percentage of criminal case applications				
18	acted on within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days of filing	30.7%	36.1%	48.7%	
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction				
21	applications acted on within Supreme				
22		2.1%	3%	26%	
22	Court standard of 120 days of filing	2.1%	3%	20%	
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
24	acted upon within Supreme Court				
25	standard of 120 days of filing	95.2%	97%	93.6%	
22 23 24 25 26	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
28	from argument	81.5%	75.8%	66.7%	
20	from argument	01.570	73.070	00.770	
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.		
31	General Performance Information:				
32		2014	2015	2016	
31 32 33	Percentage of written opinions available				
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
35	Objectives. To inform the multiple of amounting	and activi	tion		
33	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activi	nes.		
36	General Performance Information:				
37		2014	2015	2016	
38	Number of outreach programs	58	100	60	
39	Number of media releases on court decisions	64	66	78	
40	Number of media releases on other matters	25	17	24	
41	v .	23	1 /	27	
	Number of recipients of releases on	1.405	1 (22	1.775	
42	court decisions	1,485	1,632	1,775	
43	Number of recipients of releases on other				
44	matters	3,633	4,700	6,010	
45	Objective: To ensure the highest professional of	conduct int	egrity and con	nnetence of the	
46	bench.		-5.1., and con		
47	General Performance Information:				
48		2014	2015	2016	
49	Average number of hours acquired				
50 51	through continuing legal education				
51	per judge	33.59	34.25	30.08	
52 53	Number of complaints filed against	00.05	0 /20	20.00	
53		105	520	5.15	
55	judges and justices of the peace	495	529	545	
54	Number of complaints against judges				
55	and justices of the peace resolved or				
56	disposed of in the calendar year	477	601	582	
57	Objectives To ensure the high set and feet and	ondust is t	acrity and	nnatanaa af41	
J / 50	Objective: To ensure the highest professional c	onauct, int	egrity, and con	npetence of the	
58	bar.				
59	General Performance Information:				
60		2014	2015	2016	
61	Average number of hours acquired through				
62	continuing legal education per lawyer	14.92	15.12	15.08	
63					
64	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	3,040	2,950	2,922	
64	Number of complaints filed against lawyers				
65	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	3,140	3,046	2,673	

	HLS 17RS	S-651	_	ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		
2 3 4	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$	1,125,128
5 6 7	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	10,654,654
8 9 10 11 12	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
13	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	5,278,486
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adminis Louisia of new j provide retireme technole The Jua advisem	m Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supstration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judina. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendated judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Acts payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administent system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court humogical services to courts; and, manages the Trial Court Case Management dicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, mant, provides outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee forms numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.	ciary tions dmini stratio an res Infort ionito	Commission of for the creation strator's Office on of a judicial source system; mation System.
24	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	2,068,854
25 26 27 28	Article of judic	m Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional bo V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and p ial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Suprem ion, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical	orosec e Cou	cute complaints urt the censure,
29	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	420,020
30	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	158,650
31 32	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000
33 34 35 36	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,416,000
37 38 39	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	2,060,337
40 41		m Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information, and is open to members of the bar and public.	on ne	eds of the state
42 43 44 45	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	146,663
46 47 48 49	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669

	HLS 17RS	S-651		ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1 2 3	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it		
4		more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984
5	10	Judicial College	\$	303,725
6 7		m Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Supre continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.	reme Co	ourt in 1976 to
8 9 10 11 12	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	19,013,380
13 14	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	204,000
15 16	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
17 18 19	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case	,	1.000.710
20		Management Information System	\$	1,368,548
21	15	Information Technology	\$	1,104,532
22 23 24	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,354,780
25 26 27 28 29 30	develop the use and ma indicate	m Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist looping and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implement of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informations the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and rors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and find the supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.	enting, d mal cas eportin	and mandating es; developing g performance
31	17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	17,145,514
32 33 34 35 36	provide low-lev prograi	m Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 and integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with casel, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supums. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with education trequirements set by the court.	se proce ervised	essing to place rehabilitation
37	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	\$	6,958,734
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	childrei Childre screen, advoca interest	m Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promoning in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance wen's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA program and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the tess serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advent the determination of the best interest of the children involved.	vith the ograms trial ju advocat	provisions of which recruit, dge, qualified ing for the best
45	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND	<u>\$</u>	74,680,658
46 47 48 49 50	19	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at	\$	6,223,724

32

1 2 3	Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.				
4	20	Payable out of the State General Fund			
5		from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court			
6		Case Management Information Fund, for			
7		the Case Management Information System,			
8		Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal			
9		Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	\$	4,017,201	
10 11 12 13 14 15	Court juvent transj Additt is fun	ram Description: The Case Management Information System (CM) in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking ile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is ferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts a signal information will also be available from the Department of Published from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic of P.887(F).	and managing received from condition of the condition of	criminal, civil, ourts statewide, anch agencies. rections. CMIS	
17	TOTAL	- STATE GENERAL FUND			
18		STATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925	
19	TOTAL	SUPREME COURT	<u>\$</u>	84,921,583	

20 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases $appealable\ directly\ to\ the\ Supreme\ Court\ or\ to\ the\ district\ courts.$

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

2016

1,783 3,670

4,761

33	General Performance Information:		
36	· ·	2014	2015
37	Total appeals filed	2,050	2,053
38	Total writs filed	4,325	4,048
39	Total dispositions rendered	5,741	5,019

40 **Goal:** To promote the rule of law.

<u>⊿</u>1 naditianalı Δ

41	Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously.			
42	General Performance Information:			
43	· ·	2014	2015	2016
44	Average number of days from lodging of the			
45	appeal to argument:			
46	Time $Standard = no more than 175 days$.			
47	Criminal cases	151	143	162
48	Civil Cases	149	147	155
49	Median number of days for all cases	149	146	158
50	Average number of days from argument to			
51	rendering of the opinion:			
52	Time $Standard = no more than 70 days$.			
53	Criminal cases	38	43	43
54	Civil cases	44	43	42
55	Median number of days for all cases	43	43	43
	in the state of th			, .

	HLS 17R	S-651				-	ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
2 3 4 5 6		ive: To facilitate public access to the all Performance Information:	decisions of	the courts of a	appeal.		
4			2014	2015	2016		
6		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
7	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
8 9	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judge and forty-eight (48) Judges of				¢	7.012.546
10		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	311			\$	7,912,546
11 12 13	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit				\$	10,469,867
14 15 16	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit				\$	5,868,157
17 18 19	04	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit				\$	8,930,244
20 21 22	05	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit				\$	8,347,759
23 24 25	06	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit				<u>\$</u>	6,022,679
26	TOTAL (COURTS OF APPEAL				\$	47,551,252
27	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS					
28 29		am Description: There are forty-one l jurisdiction over all matters within					

general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	General Performance Information: Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	2014 97.9%	2015 93.8%	2016 81.3%
8 9 10 11 12	Objective: To encourage all responsible public costs of access to the trial court's proceedings a of money, time, or the procedures that must affordable. General Performance Information:	and records -	- whether mea	sured in terms
13 14 15 16	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented	2014	2015	2016
17	litigants	97.9%	97.9%	85.4%
18 19	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone a timely and expeditious manner.	affected by	the court and	its activities in
20 21	Objective: To encourage timely case manage General Performance Information:	ment and pr	cocessing.	
22 23	Number of parishes reporting ariminal	2014	2015	2016
24	Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
25 26 27	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS Percentage of surveyed district court chief	100%	100%	100%
28 29 30	judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness			
31	of case processing	91.7%	97.9%	87.5%
32 33	Objective: To enhance jury service. General Performance Information:	2014	2017	2016
34 35 36 37	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had	2014	2015	2016
38	taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make jury service more convenient or effective	93%	100%	90.7%
39 40	Goal: To provide due process and equal protect before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in			
41 42	Objective: To recognize new conditions of operations as necessary.	r emerging	events and to	o adjust court
43 44	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
45	Percentage of surveyed district court chief	2017	2013	2010
46 47	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve employee			
48	training and development	95.8%	87.5%	85.4%
49	Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
50 51	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to install or implement			
52	technologies	95.8%	100%	91.7%
53 54	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, whits governmental relations and accountability to			le of comity in
55 56	Objective: To inform the community of the c <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ourt's struct	ure and functi	ion.
57	· ·	2014	2015	2016
58 59	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regular	rlv		
60	provided public education and public			
61	outreach services in FY 2015-2016	89.6%	97.9%	87.5%

	HLS 17R	S-651		ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1	Payable o	out of the State General Fund:		
2 3 4	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	27,187,586
5 6 7	02	Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	1,285,250
8 9 10	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992,807
11 12 13 14 15	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	80,500
16 17 18 19	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	117,697
20 21	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
22 23 24 25	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851	\$	7,471,735
26 27 28 29 30 31	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	569,959
32 33 34 35	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	470,893
36 37 38 39	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	304,827
40 41	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	51,118
42 43 44	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
45	SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	39,577,372

13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

1

23456789

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

10 11 12	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,850,464
13 14	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750
15 16	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$ 736,127
17 18	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 288,063
19 20	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 454,091
21 22 23	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 503,908
24 25	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 10,600
26 27	Н.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 75,371
28 29	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 57,311
30 31	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$ 1,007,453
32	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$ 733,824
33	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$ 212,661
34	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$ 200,000
35	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$ 434,248
36	SUBT	OTAL	\$ 6,638,871
37	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges	
38 39	A.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,992,807
40 41	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 569,374

	HLS 17RS-651				
1 2 3	C. Office and travel expenses of Ju Court Judges as provided by R.S. and R.S. 13:694, respectively		•	<u>\$</u>	5 103,500
4	SUBTOTAL			<u>\$</u>	2,665,681
5	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS			<u>\$</u>	<u>48,881,924</u>
6 7	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES BY STATUTE	AND O	FFICE EX	PENSES AS	REQUIRED
8 9 10	Program Description: The category includes court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans).				
11 12 13 14 15	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in provide equality, fairness and integrity in the independence and accountability, and to reach a procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling	n a timely of eir proceed a fair and ju	and expeditio dings, to ma ust result by ac	nus manner, to intain judicial therence to the	
16	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible	system of	justice.		
17 18 19	Objective: To encourage all responsible public costs of access to the court's proceedings and remoney, time, or the procedures that must be follows:	ecords - wl	hether measur	red in terms of	
20 21 22 23	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016	
22 23 24	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants	100%	96.2%	96.2%	
25 26	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone a a timely and expeditious manner.	iffected by	the court and	its activities in	
27 28	Objective: To encourage timely case managen General Performance Information:	nent and pr	rocessing.		
29 30 31 32 33 34	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing	2014 ? 84.6%	2015 88.5%	2016 92.3%	
35 36	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while its governmental relations and accountability to			le of comity in	
37	Objective: To inform the community of the co	ourt's struct	ure and functi	ion.	
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	General Performance Information: Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2015-2016	2014 92.3%	2015 92.3%	2016 88.5%	
45	Objective: To recognize new conditions or				
46 47	operations as necessary. General Performance Information:	vv.gg	CYCHIC WILL C	o acquist court	
48 49	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief	2014	2015	2016	
50 51 52	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve employee				
53 54	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in	94.2%	98.1%	96.2%	
55 56	FY 2015-2016 to install or implement technologies	92.3%	96.2%	90.4%	

	HLS 17R	S-651		ORIGINAL IB NO. 620
1	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
2 3	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$	2,757,560
4 5 6 7	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively	\$	444,777
8	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	3,202,337
9 10	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EX REQUIRED BY STATUTE	PEN	SES NOT
11	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
12 13	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	614,938
14 15	_	am Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need o		
16	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$	88,244
17 18	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	63,238
19 20		am Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and with substance abuse problems.	! other	assistance to
21	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	766,420
22	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES		
23	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
24	01	Legal representation of children in child protection cases	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
25 26 27 28 29	in Child order i funding	Am Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation of Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administer to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection is required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.	n ·s	
30	TOTAL N	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
31	Section	on 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly	/ autł	norized and
32	appointed	agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein	ı prov	vided, or for
33	so much t	thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid	d out	of the state
34	general fi	and, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference	ce ov	er all other
35	warrants,	except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the s	tate a	nd warrants
36	for expen	ses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant	prov	ided by this
37	Act.			

- 1 B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary 2 in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state. 3 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 4 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 5 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 6 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state 7 general fund on or before September 1, 2018. 8 D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in 9 this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year 10 in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court. 11 12 E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, 13 14 subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the 15 Supreme Court. 16 The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, 17 objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and 18 are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and 19 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by 20 Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana. 21 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 22
- branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the
 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the
 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the
 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be
 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency
 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 3. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

Operating Budget		Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018
Supreme Court		
Total Supreme Court	\$ 63,673,627	\$ 74,680,658
Courts of Appeal		
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 45,101,587	\$ 47,551,252
District Courts		
Total District Courts	\$ 46,311,638	\$ 48,881,924
Other Courts		
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,132,025	\$ 3,202,337
Other Programs		
Total Other Programs	\$ 634,064	\$ 766,420
Non-Judicial State Expenses		
Total State Expenses	\$ 2,070,853	\$ 2,070,853
Total State General Fund		
and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$160,923,794</u>	<u>\$ 177,153,444</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,407,485</u>	\$ 10,240,925
Total Funding	<u>\$171,331,279</u>	<u>\$ 187,394,369</u>

HLS 17RS-651

ORIGINAL
HB NO. 620

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 620 Original

2017 Regular Session

Henry

Abstract: Appropriates funds for FY 2017-2018 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2017-2018 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$187,394,369 from the following sources: \$177,153,444 out of the State General Fund (Direct); and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 84,921,583
(2)	Courts of Appeal	47,551,252
(3)	District Courts	39,577,372
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,638,871
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,665,681
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,202,337
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	766,420
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses	2,070,853
	-	

TOTAL <u>\$ 187,394,369</u>

Effective July 1, 2017.