HOUSE BILL NO. 620

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

1		AN ACT			
2	To appropriate funds to defray the	e expenses of the	ne Louisiar	na Judiciary, i	ncluding the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appe	al, District Cour	ts, Crimina	ıl District Cou	rt of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to p	provide for relate	ed matters.		
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of L	ouisiana:			
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One H	Jundred Eighty-S	Seven Milli	ion Three Hun	dred Ninety-
7	Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixt	y-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,3	69.00) Dollars	s, or so much
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereb	by appropriated t	o defray th	e expenses of	the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Court	ts of Appeal, the	District C	ourts, the Crin	ninal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the	other courts.			
11	B. The total amount herein appr	ropriated is herel	by allocated	d to provide as	follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT				
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme over all lower courts. It may establish pronflict with law and may assign a stappeme Court has sole authority to proast temporary or ad hoc judges. It conditions in the second process of the Judges, and fact questions inherent authority to regulate the legal the Code of Judicial Conduct. The cour Judicial College and works to improve	procedural and adn itting or retired jud ovide by rule for apposition other appellate juri- etion of disciplinary diciary Commission affecting its appellate t also provides judice	ninistrative rudge to any conjuntments of one for writs is diction. The yroceeding of Louisian late jurisdiction on training the raining the firms of the confulgate a significant in the confulgate and training the solution of the confulgate and training the solution of the confulgate and training the confulgate and training the confulgate and training the confunction of t	ules not in burt. The cattorneys to review c Supreme as against ha for the on. It has had update	
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the and promote the rule of law, to ensing efficiently, to ensure the highest profess of both the bench and the bar, and performance of all courts under its autients.	ure public trust, to ssional conduct, into to ensure the prop	o use public egrity, and co	resources empetence	
30	Goal: To protect the rule of law.				
31 32 33 34	Objective: To provide a reasonable op Supreme Court of decisions made by lo <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ower tribunals.			
35	Total Filings	2014 2,716	2015 2,365	2016 2,283	
36	Total Appeals Filed	12	6	9	
37	Total Writs Filed	2,496	2,172	2,092	
38	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,592	2,486	2,142	

1	Goal: To	o promote the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		e: To resolve cases in a timely mann Performance Information :	er.			
4			2014	2015	2016	
5	Percenta	ge of noncriminal case				
6		ications acted on within Supreme				
7		rt standard of 120 days of filing	91.8%	97.1%	94.1%	
8		ge of criminal case applications				
		d on within Supreme Court	20.70/	26.107	40.70/	
10 11		dard of 120 days of filing	30.7%	36.1%	48.7%	
12		ge of pro se post conviction				
13		ications acted on within Supreme rt standard of 120 days of filing	2.1%	3%	26%	
14		ge of bar disciplinary filings	2.1/0	3/0	20/0	
15		d upon within Supreme Court				
16		dard of 120 days of filing	95.2%	97%	93.6%	
17		ge of opinions rendered within	7 0 1 = 7 0		7 2 7 3 7 3	
18		eme Court standard of 84 days				
19		argument	81.5%	75.8%	66.7%	
20	Cook T	a anguma tha muhlia tmuat				
	Goal: 10	o ensure the public trust.				
21 22	•	e: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.		
23	Generai .	Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016	
23 24	Percenta	ge of written opinions available	2014	2013	2010	
25		e public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
23	io in	e public within 5 days of accision	10070	10070	10070	
26	Objectiv	e: To inform the public of operations	s and activi	ties.		
27		Performance Information:				
28			2014	2015	2016	
29	Number o	of outreach programs	58	100	60	
30		of media releases on court decisions	64	66	78	
31 32 33 34		of media releases on other matters	25	17	24	
32		of recipients of releases on				
33		t decisions	1,485	1,632	1,775	
34 25		of recipients of releases on other	2 (22	4.700	6010	
35	matt	ers	3,633	4,700	6,010	
36	Objectiv	e: To ensure the highest professional	conduct in	teority and co	mnetence	
37	of the bea		conduct, in	negrity, and ec	mpetence	
38		Performance Information:				
39			2014	2015	2016	
40	Average	number of hours acquired				
41	throi	ugh continuing legal education				
42	per j		33.59	34.25	30.08	
43		of complaints filed against				
44		es and justices of the peace	495	529	545	
45		of complaints against judges				
46 47		justices of the peace resolved or	477	601	502	
48	aispe	osed of in the calendar year	477	601	582	
49	Objectiv	e: To ensure the highest professional	conduct, in	tegrity, and co	mpetence	
50	of the bar					
51	General .	Performance Information:				
51 52 53	4	1 (1 : 1.1 1	2014	2015	2016	
55 54		number of hours acquired through	1402	15 12	15.00	
54 55		inuing legal education per lawyer of complaints filed against lawyers	14.92 3,040	15.12 2,950	15.08 2,922	
55 56		of complaints filed against lawyers	3,040	2,930	2,922	
57		lved or disposed of in calendar year	3,140	3,046	2,673	
				-,	, .	
58	Payable out	t of the State General Fund (Dir	rect):			
50	Ω1	Soloming of any (1) Chief I	o on d =!=	(6)		
59		Salaries of one (1) Chief Justic		` /		
60		Associate Justices of the Supre	me Court	••	_	
61		as provided by R.S. 13:102			\$	1,125,128

HB NO. 620 **ENROLLED** 02 Salaries and other expenses of the 2 Supreme Court Proper and salary of 3 10,654,654 the Crier of the Supreme Court \$ 4 03 Expenses of Judicial Administrator's 5 Office and of the Judiciary Commission 6 provided for in Article V, Section 7 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and 8 under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq. 9 A. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office \$ 5,278,486 10 Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; technological services to courts; and, manages the Trial Court Case Management Information System. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme 20 Court and the Judiciary. 21 B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission 2,068,854 22 23 24 25 26 Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct. 27 C. Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems \$ 420,020 28 D. Dues to National Center for State Courts \$ 158,650 29 04 Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, 30 as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX \$ 3,000 31 05 Compensation and expenses of retired 32 judges assigned under Article V, 33 Section 5(A) of the Constitution of 34 Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at 1,416,000 35 06 Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, 36 services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, 37 and equipment \$ 2,060,337 38 Program Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information needs of the state judiciary, and is open to members of the bar and public. 40 Salaries and expenses of transferred 41 judges assigned under Article V, 42 Section 5(A) of the Constitution, 43 be it more or less estimated as \$ 146,663 44 08 Retirement pay for services rendered by 45 justices and judges of all courts, as 46 provided by R.S. 11:1358 and 47 R.S. 13:103 \$ 1,365,669 48 09 Pensions for widows of justices and 49 judges of all courts, as provided by 50 R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it

\$

1,469,984

more or less estimated at

51

HB NO. 620 **ENROLLED** 1 10 Judicial College \$ 303,725 23 **Program Description:** The Judicial College was established by order of the Supreme Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges. 4 11 State contribution to judicial 5 retirement provided for in Article V, 6 Section 23 of the Constitution and 7 R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or 8 less estimated at 19,013,380 9 12 Civil commitment matters as 10 required by R.S. 28:54 \$ 204,000 13 Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses 11 12 \$ of storage of appellate court records 60,000 13 14 Funding for statewide operations of the 14 Louisiana Protective Order Registry 15 (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case 16 Management Information System \$ 1,368,548 17 15 Information Technology \$ 1,104,532 18 16 Payable out of the State General Fund for the 19 expenses associated with the operation of the 20 Families in Need of Services Program (FINS) \$ 2,354,780 21 22 23 24 25 26 **Program Description:** The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and $reporting\ performance\ indicators\ and\ measures;\ requiring\ and\ monitoring\ periodic\ fiscal\ reports\ and$ financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways. 27 17 11,745,514 Drug court maintenance and enhancement 28 29 30 **Program Description:** Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 through R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements set by the court. 33 2,965,884 Court Appointed Special Advocates 34 35 36 37 38 39 **Program Description:** The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. 41 TOTAL - GENERAL FUND 65,287,808 42 19 Payable out of the State General Fund 43 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' 44 Supplemental Compensation Fund, 45 R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less 46 estimated at \$ 6,223,724 Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the 48

in R.S. 13:10.3.

Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided

HB NO. 620 **ENROLLED** 20 Payable out of the State General Fund 2 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court 3 Case Management Information Fund, for 4 the Case Management Information System, 5 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal 6 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at 4,017,201 7 8 9 10 11 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F). 14 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 15 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 10,240,925 16 21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable 17 out of the State General Fund through Interagency 18 Transfers from the Department of Children and 19 **Family Services** 5,400,000 20 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of 21 the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers 22 from the Department of Children and Family 23 Services 3,992,850 24 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 25 THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 9,392,850 26 TOTAL SUPREME COURT 84,921,583 27 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently. 41

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

43

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

44 General Performance Information:

45		2014	2015	2016
46	Total appeals filed	2,050	2,053	1,783
47	Total writs filed	4,325	4,048	3,670
48	Total dispositions rendered	5,741	5,019	4,761

49 **Goal:** To promote the rule of law.

1 2	•	ive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information:				
$\frac{2}{3}$	Genera	u Ferjormunce Injormuuon:	2014	2015	2016	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		e number of days from lodging of the to argument:	2014	2013	2010	
6	Time Si	tandard = no more than 175 days.				
7		al cases	151	143	162	
8	Civil C		149	147	155	
9	Median	number of days for all cases	149	146	158	
10 11 12 13 14	renderi Time Si	re number of days from argument to ing of the opinion: tandard = no more than 70 days. al cases ases	38 44	43 43	43 42	
15	Mediar	number of days for all cases	43	43	43	
16	Goal:	To preserve public trust.				
17	Object	ive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions of	the courts of	appeal.	
18		al Performance Information:			TT	
19		J	2014	2015	2016	
20 21		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
22	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:				
23 24 25	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:			\$	7,912,546
26 27 28	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit			\$	10,469,867
29 30 31	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit			\$	5,868,157
32 33 34	04	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit			\$	8,930,244
35 36 37	05	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit			\$	8,347,759
38 39 40	06	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit			<u>\$</u>	6,022,679
41	TOTAL (COURTS OF APPEAL			<u>\$</u>	47,551,252
42	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS				
43 44 45 46 47 48	genera judicia and juv excepti	am Description: There are forty-one and lyurisdiction over all matters within the l districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 2-wenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction or leans Parish where there are separate or lyurisdictions, respectively. In a contraction of the large of the l	ieir territoria 4th Judicial ion over cert rate courts ex	l limits, excep Districts) who tain types of c ercising civil,	ot in those ere family cases and criminal,	

general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court

of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

General Performance Information:

	2014	2015	2016
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2015-2016 to improve compliance			
with the Americans with Disabilities Act			
(ADA)	97.9%	93.8%	81.3%

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

General Performance Information:

	2014	2015	2016
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented			
litigants	97.9%	97.9%	85.4%

Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

2014

2015

2016

Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.

General Performance Information:

	2014	2013	2010
Number of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
Percentage of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating that their courts had			
taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to			
reduce delays and improve the timeliness			
of case processing	91.7%	97.9%	87.5%
• •			

Objective: To enhance jury service.

General Performance Information:

,	2014	2015	2016
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating that their court had			
taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make			
jury service more convenient or effective	93%	100%	90.7%

Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and

Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary.

General Performance Information:

	2014	2015	2016
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2015-2016 to improve employee			
training and development	95.8%	87.5%	85.4%

1 2 3 4	jud FY	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating actions taken in Y 2015-2016 to install or implement chnologies	95.8%	100%	91.7%	
5 6		To maintain judicial independence, who overnmental relations and accountabile			of comity	
7 8		tive: To inform the community of the al Performance Information:	court's structi	ure and functi	ion.	
7 8 9 10	Percen	tage of surveyed district court chief	2014	2015	2016	
11 12 13	pr	dges indicating that their courts regulo ovided public education and public treach services in FY 2015-2016	arly 89.6%	97.9%	87.5%	
14	Payable o	out of the State General Fund:				
15 16 17	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as providing R.S. 13:691			\$	27,187,586
18	02	Office and travel expenses of	District		·	, ,
19 20		Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively			\$	1,285,250
21 22 23	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judge Civil District Court, Orleans F as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,992,807
24	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil D	District		,	y y ·
25 26 27 28		Court, Parish of Orleans, for so of stenographers, clerks, law be stationery, telephone, and like as provided by R.S. 13:698	alaries oooks,		\$	80,500
29 30 31	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Repo of the Twentieth Judicial Dist including retirement contribut	rict Court,		¢	117.607
32	06	provided by R.S. 13:966.1	0.1		\$	117,697
33 34	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, (Parish, as provided by R.S. 13			\$	10,000
35 36 37 38	07	State share of Group, Workers Compensation, General Liabil and Property Insurance Premis as provided by R.S.42:851	ity,		\$	7,471,735
39 40 41 42 43 44	08	Salaries of two (2) commission the Nineteenth Judicial Distriction one (1) commissioner of the Fundicial District as provided br.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	et and Fifteenth		\$	569,959
45 46 47 48	09	Office expenses for the Judici Expense Fund of the Nineteen District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713			\$	470,893

	HB NO. 6	520		ENROLLED
1 2 3 4	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	304,827
5 6	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	51,118
7 8 9	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	\$	35,000
10	SUBT	TOTAL	\$	39,577,372
11	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	jurisdic the par its mag in all f dischar all case	am Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of action of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and of ish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other consistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of all consistency charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, we are, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has the stried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Contral supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.	ffenses co ourt. The f committ with autho appellate	mmitted within court, through ing magistrates ority to bail or e jurisdiction of
20 21 22	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,850,464
23 24	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750
25 26	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	736,127
27 28	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	288,063
29 30	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	454,091
31 32 33	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	503,908
34 35	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,600
36 37	Н.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	75,371
38 39	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	57,311
40 41	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	1,007,453
42	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	733,824
43	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	212,661
44	M	. Sanity Commissions	\$	200,000

	HB NO. 620 ENROLLED
1	N. Board of Jury Commissioners \$\\ 434,248
2	SUBTOTAL \$ 6,638,871
3	14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges
4 5	A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 \$ 1,992,807
6 7	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 \$ 569,374
8 9 10	C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively \$\frac{103,500}{2}\$
11	SUBTOTAL <u>\$ 2,665,681</u>
12	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS <u>\$ 48,881,924</u>
13 14	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS REQUIRED BY STATUTE
15 16 17	Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).
18 19 20 21 22 23	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.
24	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.
25 26 27 28 29	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. General Performance Information: 2014 2015 2016
30 31 32	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants 100% 96.2% 96.2%
33 34	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.
35	Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	General Performance Information: 2014 2015 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing 84.6% 88.5% 92.3%
43 44	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.
45 46	Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information:
47 48 49 50 51 52	2014 2015 2016 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2015-
52	2016 92.3% 92.3% 88.5%

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2014 2015 2016 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve employee 94.2% training and development 98.1% 96.2% Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to install or implement technologies 92.3% 96.2% 90.4% 13 Payable out of the State General Fund: 14 01 Salaries of sixty (60) City Court 15 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875 \$ 2,757,560 02 16 Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) 17 Traffic and one (1) Parish Court 18 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 19 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively 444,777 20 TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE 3,202,337 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES NOT 21 03-8174 22 REQUIRED BY STATUTE 23 Payable out of the State General Fund: 24 01 Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective 25 Care Monitoring Program 614,938 Program Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of 28 Services Program. 29 02 Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters 88,244 30 03 For the expenses of the Judges' 31 Assistance Program 63,238 Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges with substance abuse problems. 34 TOTAL OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE 766,420 35 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES 36 Payable out of the State General Fund: 37 01 Legal representation of children in child protection cases <u>\$</u> **Program Description:** As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in 40 order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility. 43 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES 2,070,853

ENROLLED

HB NO. 620

Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount of Twenty Million Seventeen Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty Three and No/100 (\$20,017,923.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.

C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state general fund on or before September 1, 2018.

D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and

objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

Operating Budget	Appropriation Reques	st	
Courts and Programs	FY 2016-2017	FY	2017-2018
Supreme Court			
Total Supreme Court	\$ 63,673,627	\$	74,680,658
Courts of Appeal			
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 45,101,587	\$	47,551,252
District Courts			
Total District Courts	\$ 46,311,638	\$	48,881,924
Other Courts			
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,132,025	\$	3,202,337

HB NO. 620		ENROLLED		
Other Programs				
Total Other Programs	\$ 634,064	\$ 766,420		
Non-Judicial State Expenses				
Total State Expenses	\$ 2,070,853	\$ 2,070,853		
Total State General Fund				
and Interagency Transfer				
All Line Items	<u>\$160,923,794</u>	\$ 177,153,444		
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,407,485</u>	\$ 10,240,925		
Total Funding	<u>\$171,331,279</u>	\$ 187,394,369		
SPEAK	ER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRE	SENTATIVES		
PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE				
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA				
APPROVED:	<u> </u>			