

115TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 550

To restore statutory rights to the people of the United States from forced arbitration.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 7, 2017

Mr. Leahy (for himself, Mr. Franken, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Markey, Ms. Warren, Mrs. Murray, Ms. Baldwin, Ms. Heitkamp, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Brown, Mr. Booker, and Mrs. Shaheen) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To restore statutory rights to the people of the United States from forced arbitration.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Restoring Statutory
- 5 Rights and Interests of the States Act of 2017".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND INTENT.
- 7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) Chapter 1 of title 9, United States Code
- 9 (commonly known as the "Federal Arbitration

- Act"), represented an exercise of legislative power that required courts to recognize private voluntary agreements to arbitrate commercial disputes at a time when the courts were refusing to do so on grounds that arbitration represented a usurpation of the authority of the courts to resolve legal disputes.
 - (2) The Federal Arbitration Act did not, and should not have been interpreted to, supplant or nullify the legislatively created rights and remedies that Congress, exercising its power under article I of the Constitution of the United States, has granted to the people of the United States for resolving disputes in State and Federal courts.
 - (3) Recent court decisions, including AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion, 563 U.S. 333 (2011) and American Express Co. v. Italian Colors Restaurant, 133 S. Ct. 2304 (2013), have interpreted the Federal Arbitration Act to broadly preempt rights and remedies established under substantive State and Federal law. As a result, these decisions have enabled business entities to avoid or nullify legal duties created by congressional enactment, resulting in millions of people in the United States being unable to vindicate their rights in State and Federal courts.

- 1 (4) States have a compelling interest in enact2 ing rights and remedies to protect the welfare of
 3 their citizens, and the Federal Arbitration Act
 4 should not be, and should not have been, interpreted
 5 to preempt State legislation that enacted rights and
 6 remedies to protect the welfare of their citizens.
- 7 (b) Intent of Congress.—In enacting this Act, it 8 is the intent of Congress—
 - (1) to restate and reinstitute the primacy of congressional and State legislative bodies as the creators of the rights and remedies available to all the people of the United States;
 - (2) to clarify that congressionally established rights and remedies may not be waived prior to the institution of a dispute by the party intended to be protected by such statute; and
 - (3) to reinstate and reaffirm existing rights and remedies of the people of the United States enacted since the enactment of the Federal Arbitration Act regarding access to the courts that have, or may have been, abrogated or diminished.

SEC. 3. ARBITRATION OF FEDERAL STATUTORY CAUSES OF

- 2 ACTION.
- 3 (a) Adjudication of Federal Statutory Rights
- 4 OF ACTION.—Section 2 of title 9, United States Code, is
- 5 amended—
- 6 (1) by striking "A written" and inserting "(a)
- 7 IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b),
- 8 a written"; and
- 9 (2) by adding at the end the following:
- 10 "(b) Exception.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to
- 11 a written provision that requires arbitration of a claim for
- 12 damages or injunctive relief brought by an individual or
- 13 small business concern (as defined in section 3 of the
- 14 Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)), in either an indi-
- 15 vidual or representative capacity, arising from the alleged
- 16 violation of a Federal or State statute, the Constitution
- 17 of the United States, or a constitution of a State, unless
- 18 the written agreement to arbitrate is entered into by both
- 19 parties after the claim has arisen and pertains solely to
- 20 an existing claim.
- 21 "(c) Interaction With State Law.—In sub-
- 22 section (a), the term 'grounds as exist at law or in equity
- 23 for the revocation of a contract' includes a Federal or
- 24 State statute, or the finding of a Federal or State court,
- 25 that prohibits the agreement to arbitrate on grounds that
- 26 the agreement is unconscionable, invalid because there was

- 1 no meeting of the minds, or otherwise unenforceable as
- 2 a matter of contract law or public policy.
- 3 "(d) Validity and Enforceability.—A deter-
- 4 mination as to whether this chapter applies to an agree-
- 5 ment to arbitrate shall be made by a court, rather than
- 6 an arbitrator, irrespective of whether the party resisting
- 7 arbitration challenges the agreement to arbitrate specifi-
- 8 cally or in conjunction with other terms of the contract
- 9 containing such agreement.".
- 10 SEC. 4. VACATING AN AWARD MADE IN VIOLATION OF SEC-
- 11 TION 2 OF TITLE 9, UNITED STATES CODE.
- Section 10(a) of title 9, United States Code, is
- 13 amended—
- (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "or" at the
- 15 end;
- 16 (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at
- the end and inserting "; or"; and
- 18 (3) by adding at the end the following:
- 19 "(5) where the arbitration took place in viola-
- tion of section 2.".
- 21 SEC. 5. APPLICABILITY.
- This Act, and the amendments made by this Act,
- 23 shall apply with respect to any dispute or claim that arises
- 24 on or after the date of enactment of this Act.