Enrolled Copy S.B. 224

1	DEDICATION OF PUBLIC HIGHWAYS			
2	2020 GENERAL SESSION			
3	STATE OF UTAH			
4	Chief Sponsor: Todd Weiler			
5	House Sponsor: Merrill F. Nelson			
6 7	LONG TITLE			
8	General Description:			
9	This bill modifies the Transportation Code by amending provisions relating to the			
10	dedication of public highways.			
11	Highlighted Provisions:			
12	This bill:			
13	 modifies the requirements for an interruption of continuous use of a highway as a 			
14	public thoroughfare;			
15	 provides that a property owner's interruption of continuous use of a highway as a 			
16	public thoroughfare restarts the running of the 10-year period of continuous use			
17	required for the dedication of a public highway;			
18	provides that a property owner's interruption of a right-of-way created after			
19	dedication has no effect on the validity of the state's or local highway authority's			
20	claim to the right-of-way;			
21	 removes certain limitations regarding the applicability of the dedication and 			
22	interruption provisions; and			
23	removes language providing legislative intent.			
24	Money Appropriated in this Bill:			
25	None			
26	Other Special Clauses:			
27	None			
28	Utah Code Sections Affected:			
29	AMENDS:			

S.B. 224 Enrolled Copy

/2-5-104, as last amended by Laws of Otan 2014, Chapter 10/
Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
Section 1. Section 72-5-104 is amended to read:
72-5-104. Public use constituting dedication Scope.
(1) As used in this section, "highway," "street," or "road" does not include an area
principally used as a parking lot.
(2) [(a)] A highway is dedicated and abandoned to the use of the public when it has
been continuously used as a public thoroughfare for a period of 10 years.
[(b) Dedication to the use of the public under Subsection (2) does not require an act of
dedication or implied dedication by the property owner.]
(3) The requirement of continuous use under Subsection (2) is satisfied if the use is as
frequent as the public finds convenient or necessary and may be seasonal or follow some other
pattern.
(4) Continuous use as a public thoroughfare under Subsection (2) is interrupted [only]
when:
[(a) the regularly established pattern and frequency of public use for the given road has
actually been interrupted for a period of no less than 24 hours to a degree that reasonably puts
the traveling public on notice; or]
[(b) for interruptions by use of a barricade on or after May 10, 2011:]
[(i)] (a) [if] the person or entity interrupting the continuous use gives not less than 72
hours advance written notice of the interruption to the highway authority having jurisdiction of
the highway, street, or road; [and]
[(ii) the barricade is in place for at least 24 consecutive hours, then an interruption will
be deemed to have occurred.]
(b) the property owner undertakes an overt act which is intended to interrupt the use of
the highway, street, or road as a public thoroughfare; and
(c) the overt act described in Subsection (4)(b) is reasonably calculated to interrupt the

Enrolled Copy S.B. 224

58 regularly established pattern and frequency of public use for the given highway, street, or road 59 for a period of no less than 24 hours. 60 (5) Installation of gates and posting of no trespassing signs are relevant forms of 61 evidence but are not solely determinative of whether an interruption under Subsection (4) has 62 occurred. 63 [(6) If the highway authority having jurisdiction of the highway, street, or road 64 demands that an interruption cease or that a barrier or barricade blocking public access be removed and the property owner accedes to the demand, the attempted interruption does not 65 66 constitute an interruption under Subsection (4).] 67 (6) A property owner's interruption under Subsection (4) of a highway, street, or road where the requirement of continuous use under Subsection (2) is not satisfied restarts the 68 running of the 10-year period of continuous use required for dedication under Subsection (2). 69 (7) (a) The burden of proving dedication under Subsection (2) is on the party asserting 70 71 the dedication. 72 (b) The burden of proving interruption under Subsection (4) is on the party asserting 73 the interruption. 74 (8) (a) The dedication and abandonment creates a right-of-way held by the state or a local highway authority in accordance with Sections 72-3-102, 72-3-103, 72-3-104, 72-3-105, 75 and 72-5-103. 76 77 (b) A property owner's interruption under Subsection (4) of a right-of-way claimed by the state or local highway authority in accordance with Subsection (8)(a) or R.S. 2477 has no 78 79 effect on the validity of the state's or local highway authority's claim to the right-of-way and 80 does not return the right-of-way to the property owner. 81 (9) The scope of [the] a right-of-way described in Subsection (8)(a) is that which is reasonable and necessary to ensure safe travel according to the facts and circumstances.

- (10) [(a)] The provisions of this section apply to any claim under this section for which
- a court of competent jurisdiction has not issued a final unappealable judgment or order. 84
 - [(b) The Legislature finds that the application of this section:]

82

83

85

	S.B. 224	Enrolled Copy
86	[(i) does not enlarge, eliminate, or destroy vested rights; and]	
87	[(ii) clarifies legislative intent in light of Utah Supreme Court rulings	in Wasatch
88	County v. Okelberry, 179 P.3d 768 (Utah 2008), Town of Leeds v. Prisbrey,	79 P.3d 757

(Utah 2008), and Utah County v. Butler, 179 P.3d 775 (Utah 2008).]

89