2017 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 620

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2017-2018

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million Three Hundred Ninety-
7	Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,369.00) Dollars, or so much
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and
 promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to
 ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench
 and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts
 under its authority.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. General Performance Information:

4 5 6 7 8 9	General Performance Information:			
5		2014	2015	2016
6	Total Filings	2,716	2,365	2,283
7	Total Appeals Filed	12	6	9
8	Total Writs Filed	2,496	2,172	2,092
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,592	2,486	2,142
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.		
12	General Performance Information:			
13		2014	2015	2016
14	Percentage of noncriminal case	-017	2010	2010
15	applications acted on within Supreme			
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	91.8%	97.1%	94.1%
17	Percentage of criminal case applications	/1.0/0	27.170	71.170
18	acted on within Supreme Court			
19	standard of 120 days of filing	30.7%	36.1%	48.7%
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction	50.770	50.170	40.770
20	applications acted on within Supreme			
$\frac{21}{22}$	Court standard of 120 days of filing	2.1%	3%	26%
22 23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings	2.170	570	2070
23	acted upon within Supreme Court			
25		95.2%	070/	93.6%
$\frac{23}{26}$	standard of 120 days of filing	95.270	97%	95.0%
20	Percentage of opinions rendered within			
$\frac{27}{28}$	Supreme Court standard of 84 days	01 50/	75 00/	66 70/
20	from argument	81.5%	75.8%	66.7%
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
	-			
30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.	
31	General Performance Information:			
32	0	2014	2015	2016
33	Percentage of written opinions available			
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
	1 2 5			
35	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activi	ties.	
35 36		s and activi	ties.	
35 36 37	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i>	s and activi 2014	ties. 2015	2016
35 36 37 38	Objective: To inform the public of operations			2016 60
35 36 37 38 39	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i>	2014	2015	60
35 36 37 38 39 40	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i>	2014 58	2015 100	60
35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i> <i>Number of media releases on court decisions</i>	2014 58 64	2015 100 66	60 78
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i> <i>Number of media releases on court decisions</i> <i>Number of media releases on other matters</i>	2014 58 64	2015 100 66	60 78
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i> <i>Number of media releases on court decisions</i> <i>Number of media releases on other matters</i> <i>Number of recipients of releases on</i>	2014 58 64 25	2015 100 66 17	60 78 24
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2014 58 64 25	2015 100 66 17	60 78 24
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i> <i>Number of media releases on court decisions</i> <i>Number of media releases on other matters</i> <i>Number of recipients of releases on</i> <i>court decisions</i> <i>Number of recipients of releases on other</i> <i>matters</i> Objective: To ensure the highest professional of 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the 2016
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the 2016
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i> <i>Number of media releases on court decisions</i> <i>Number of media releases on other matters</i> <i>Number of recipients of releases on</i> <i>court decisions</i> <i>Number of recipients of releases on other</i> <i>matters</i> Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. <i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Average number of hours acquired</i> <i>through continuing legal education</i> <i>per judge</i> <i>Number of complaints filed against</i> 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25 529	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08 545
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582
$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60 \end{array}$	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and con 2015 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582
$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61 \end{array}$	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and com 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and com	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the
$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ \end{array}$	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and com 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and com	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the
$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ \end{array}$	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, into 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and com 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and com 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the 2016
$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 64 \end{array}$	 Objective: To inform the public of operation: General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, into 2014 14.92	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and com 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and com 2015 15.12	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the 2016 15.08
$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ \end{array}$	 Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer Number of complaints filed against lawyers 	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, into 2014 14.92	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and com 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and com 2015 15.12	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the 2016 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the 2016 15.08

1	Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct):	
---	---	--

2 3 4	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$ 1,125,128
5 6 7	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$ 10,654,654
8 9 10 11 12	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.	
13	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$ 5,278,486

Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; technological services to courts; and, manages the Trial Court Case Management Information System. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.

24 B. E

B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission

\$ 2,068,854

Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under
 Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints
 of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure,
 suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.

29	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	420,020
30	D	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	158,650
31 32	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000
33 34 35 36	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,416,000
37 38 39	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	2,060,337
40 41		m Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information ry, and is open to members of the bar and public.	on nee	ds of the state
42 43 44 45	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	146,663
46 47 48 49	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669

				HB NO. 620
1 2 3 4	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984
5	10	Judicial College	\$	303,725
6 7		Am Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the e continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.	Supreme C	ourt in 1976 to
8 9 10 11 12	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	19,013,380
13 14	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	204,000
15 16	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
17 18 19 20	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,368,548
21	15	Information Technology	\$	1,104,532
22 23 24	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,354,780
25 26 27 28 29 30	develog the use and ma indicat	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assign and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing an tracking, managing, and reporting FINS and a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS and the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, ors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports an enerally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.	plementing, informal cas and reportin d financial d	and mandating ses; developing ng performance
31	17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,745,514
32 33 34 35 36	provide low-lev progra	am Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:2 ed integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with vel, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially ms. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with edu- ent requirements set by the court.	th case proc y supervised	essing to place l rehabilitation
37	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	<u>\$</u>	2,965,884
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	childre Childro screen, advoca interes	Am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to purpose of a care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance of care articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CAS train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by thes serve children by providing independent factual information to the just of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and not be determination of the best interest of the children involved.	nce with the A programs the trial ju dge, advoca	e provisions of which recruit, udge, qualified ting for the best
45	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND	<u>\$</u>	65,287,808
46 47	19	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges'		

- 47 from Statutory Dedications, Judges'48 Supplemental Compensation Fund,
- 49 R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less
- 50 estimated at

\$ 6,223,724

9

Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature
 in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding
 source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.

4 20 Payable out of the State General Fund
5 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court
6 Case Management Information Fund, for
7 the Case Management Information System,
8 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal

Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

\$ 4,017,201

 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies.
 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).

17 18 19 20	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 21 Drug court maintenance and enhancemen out of the State General Fund through		<u>10,240,925</u>
21	Transfers from the Department of Ch	6,	
22	Family Services	\$	5,400,000
23 24 25	22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, the State General Fund through Intera from the Department of Children and	agency Transfers	
26	Services	<u>\$</u>	3,992,850
27	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND		
28	THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS	S <u>\$</u>	9,392,850
29	TOTAL SUPREME COURT	\$	84,921,583

30 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge,
 Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over
 all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory
 jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction
 over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all
 criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases
 appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

38 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide
 39 meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory
 40 jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the
 41 rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

- 42 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.
- 43 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions
 44 made by lower tribunals.
 45 General Performance Information:

10	General I erjørndande Injørndandent			
46		2014	2015	2016
47	Total appeals filed	2,050	2,053	1,783
48	Total writs filed	4,325	4,048	3,670
49	Total dispositions rendered	5,741	5,019	4,761

50 Goal: To promote the rule of law.

1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Genera Averag appeal Time S Crimin Civil C	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information: we number of days from lodging of the to argument: tandard = no more than 175 days. al cases cases number of days for all cases	2014 151 149 149	2015 143 147 146	2016 162 155 158		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	render Time S Crimin Civil co Median	te number of days from argument to ing of the opinion: tandard = no more than 70 days. al cases ases n number of days for all cases To preserve public trust.	38 44 43	43 43 43	43 42 43		
17 18		ive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions of	the courts of a	appeal.		
19		al Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016		
20 21		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
22	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
23 24 25	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:				\$	7,912,546
26 27 28	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit				\$	10,469,867
29 30 31	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit				\$	5,868,157
32 33 34	04	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit				\$	8,930,244
35 36 37	05	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit				\$	8,347,759
38 39 40	06	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit				\$	6,022,679
41	TOTAL (COURTS OF APPEAL				<u>\$</u>	47,551,252

42 **03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS**

1 2 3 4 5 criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes. 6 7 8 9 Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural 10 and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public. 11 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice. 12 Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, 13 and convenient. 14 15 **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 16 17 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in 18 FY 2015-2016 to improve compliance 19 with the Americans with Disabilities Act 2097.9% (ADA) 93.8% 81.3% 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented $\overline{30}$ 97.9% 97.9% 85.4% litigants 31 32 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2016 2015 Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 64 64 64 Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 100% 100% 100% 40 41 42 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to 43 reduce delays and improve the timeliness 44 91.7% 97.9% 87.5% of case processing 45 **Objective:** To enhance jury service. 46 47 **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 48 Percentage of surveyed district court chief 49 judges indicating that their court had 50 51 taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make jury service more convenient or effective 93% 100% 90.7% 52 53 Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions. 54 55 56 57 58 59 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 Percentage of surveyed district court chief

95.8%

87.5%

85.4%

judges indicating actions taken in

training and development

FY 2015-2016 to improve employee

60

61

1 2 3 4	jud FY	tage of surveyed district court chief ges indicating actions taken in 2015-2016 to install or implement hnologies	95.8%	100%	91.7%		
5 6		To maintain judicial independence, w rnmental relations and accountability			le of comity	in	
7 8 9		ive: To inform the community of the <i>l Performance Information:</i>	court's struct	ure and funct	ion.		
10 11 12	jud	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their courts regul wided public education and public	2014 ^J arly	2015	2016		
13 14		treach services in FY 2015-2016 ut of the State General Fund:	89.6%	97.9%	87.5%		
15	01	Salaries of one hundred ninet	v-one				
16 17	01	(191) District Judges as provi by R.S. 13:691	•			\$	27,187,586
18 19 20	02	Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively				\$	1,285,250
21 22 23	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg Civil District Court, Orleans I as provided by R.S. 13:691				\$	1,992,807
24 25 26 27 28	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil I Court, Parish of Orleans, for s of stenographers, clerks, law stationery, telephone, and like as provided by R.S. 13:698	salaries books,			\$	80,500
29 30 31 32	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Rep of the Twentieth Judicial Dist including retirement contribu- provided by R.S. 13:966.1	trict Court,			\$	117,697
33 34	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Parish, as provided by R.S. 13				\$	10,000
35 36 37 38	07	State share of Group, Worker Compensation, General Liabi and Property Insurance Premi as provided by R.S.42:851	lity,			\$	7,471,735
39 40 41 42 43 44	08	Salaries of two (2) commission the Nineteenth Judicial Distri one (1) commissioner of the H Judicial District as provided b R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	ct and Fifteenth			\$	569,959
45 46 47 48	09	Office expenses for the Judici Expense Fund of the Nineteer District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713				\$	470,893

1 2 3 4	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	304,827
5 6	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	51,118
7 8 9	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
10	SUB	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	39,577,372

11 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

12**Program Description:** The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction13of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of14Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and15with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and16the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all17cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court18of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these19courts.

20 21 22	А.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,850,464
23 24	B.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750
25 26	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$ 736,127
27 28	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 288,063
29 30	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 454,091
31 32 33	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 503,908
34 35	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 10,600
36 37	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 75,371
38 39	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 57,311
40 41	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$ 1,007,453
42	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$ 733,824
43	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$ 212,661

	HLS 17RS-651	<u>REENGROSSED</u>
		HB NO. 620
1	M. Sanity Commissions	\$ 200,000
2	N. Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$ 434,248</u>
3	SUBTOTAL	<u>\$ 6,638,871</u>
4	14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges	
5 6	 A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 	\$ 1,992,807
7 8	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 569,374
9 10	C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698	
11	and R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$ 103,500</u>
12	SUBTOTAL	<u>\$ 2,665,681</u>
13	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$ 48,881,924</u>

DEENCDOSSED

14 03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS REQUIRED 15 **BY STATUTE**

16 17 18 Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).

19 20 21 22 23 Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

24 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

25 26 27	Objective: To encourage all responsible public costs of access to the court's proceedings and r money, time, or the procedures that must be foll	records - w	hether measu	red in terms o
28 29 30	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
30 31 32	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants	100%	96.2%	96.2%

33 34 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

35 36	Objective: To encourage timely case manage <i>General Performance Information:</i>	gement and p	rocessing.	
37	5 5	2014	2015	2016
38 39 40 41	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chi judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to red delays and improve the timeliness of cas	uce		
42	processing	84.6%	88.5%	92.3%

1 2		To maintain judicial independence, wh ernmental relations and accountability t			le of comity	in	
3	Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function.						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Percen juc reg	al Performance Information: tage of surveyed city/parish court chieg lges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education and	2014 f	2015	2016		
9 10	ри 20	blic outreach services in FY 2015- 16	92.3%	92.3%	88.5%		
11 12		ive: To recognize new conditions o ons as necessary.	r emerging	events and t	o adjust cou	ırt	
13		al Performance Information:					
14 15 16 17	juc FY	tage of surveyed city/parish court chiej dges indicating actions taken in 2015-2016 to improve employee		2015	2016		
18 19 20 21	Percen juc	tining and development tage of surveyed city/parish court chiej lges indicating actions taken in (2015-2016 to install or implement	94.2% f	98.1%	96.2%		
$\frac{21}{22}$		7 2015-2016 to install or implement chnologies	92.3%	96.2%	90.4%		
23	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
24 25	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Cour Judges as provided by R.S. 13:				\$	2,757,560
26 27 28	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, Traffic and one (1) Parish Cou Judges as provided by R.S. 13:	rt 2492,				
29		13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, resp	ectively			<u>\$</u>	444,777
30	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS REQUIRED I	BY STAT	UTE		<u>\$</u>	3,202,337
31 32	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SAL REQUIRED BY STATUTE	ARIES	AND OFF	FICE EX	PEN	SES NOT
33	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
34 35	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protec Care Monitoring Program	tive			\$	614,938
36 37							
38	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court	Reporters			\$	88,244
39 40	03	For the expenses of the Judges Assistance Program	'			<u>\$</u>	63,238
41 42							
43	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS NOT REQUI	RED BY S	STATUTE		<u>\$</u>	766,420

3

1 03-8175 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

2 Payable out of the State General Fund:

01 Legal representation of children in child protection cases

<u>5 2,070,853</u>

2,070,853

Program Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.

9 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State
General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount
of Twenty Million Seventeen Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty Three and No/100
(\$20,017,923.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control
Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state
general fund on or before September 1, 2018.

D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

HLS 17RS-651

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of
 authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected,
 subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the
 Supreme Court.

5 F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, 6 objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and 7 are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and 8 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by 9 Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

10 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 11 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 12 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 13 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 14 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 15 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 16 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 17 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 18 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the
 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on
 July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

Operating Budget		Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018
Supreme Court		
Total Supreme Court	\$ 63,673,627	\$ 74,680,658

Courts of Appeal			
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 45,101,587	\$ 47,551,252	
District Courts			
Total District Courts	\$ 46,311,638	\$ 48,881,924	
Other Courts			
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,132,025	\$ 3,202,337	
Other Programs			
Total Other Programs	\$ 634,064	\$ 766,420	
Non-Judicial State Expenses			
Total State Expenses	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	
Total State General Fund			
and Interagency Transfer			
All Line Items	<u>\$160,923,794</u>	<u>\$ 177,153,444</u>	
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,407,485</u>	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>	
Total Funding	<u>\$171,331,279</u>	<u>\$ 187,394,369</u>	

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 620 Reengrossed	2017 Regular Session	Henry

Abstract: Appropriates funds for FY 2017-2018 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2017-2018 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$171,164,719 from the following sources: \$151,530,944 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$9,392,850 through interagency transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services; and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$84,921,583
(2)	Courts of Appeal	47,551,252
(3)	District Courts	39,577,372
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,638,871
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,665,681
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,202,337

(7) Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)

(8) Non-Judicial State Expenses

TOTAL

\$187,394,369

766,420

2,070,853

Requires that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$16,229,650, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

Effective July 1, 2017.

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the <u>original</u> bill:

- 1. Provide for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services by \$9,392,850 for drug court maintenance and enhancement and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA).
- 2. Add requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$20,017,923 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

The House Floor Amendments to the engrossed bill:

 Change the amount of reduction to the appropriations contained in this Act <u>from</u> \$20,017,923 to \$16,229,650, resulting in an increase to the appropriation of \$3,788,273.